

PEOPLE				
S/n	Criteria	Evidence	Scoring	
1.	Is the Security Officer present and alert at the duty post?	<p>Assessment of security officer at sites before the commencement of checks by the assessors.</p> <p>Assessors will surface to PLRD for follow up action if Security Officer is found absent without a valid reason.</p>	Max pts = 4	
			Present and alert.	4
			Present but not alert.	2
			Not present.	0
			SA without deployment sites.	NA
2.	<p>Did the Security Officer perform adequate check on Assessors posing as visitors?</p> <p>Assessors will pose as visitors attempting to access the premises (only applicable for Security Officer on guard/access control duties).</p>	<p>Adequate check was carried out by the Security Officer.</p> <p>What is adequate must commensurate with the sensitivity of the deployment site in question.</p>	Max pts = 4	
			Adequate check.	4
			Inadequate/No checks.	0
			No check is required at the deployment site or SA without deployment sites.	NA
3.	<p>Is the turnout and bearing of the Security Officer neat and proper?</p> <p>The Security Officer should be in proper issued uniform with proper footwear (No slippers/sandals/crocs/barefooted).</p>	<p>Turnout and bearing of the Security Officer at site.</p> <p>Security Officer found with dirty and untidy uniform or with uncovered shoes are deemed as unsatisfactory.</p> <p>Assessors will surface unsatisfactory turnout and bearing to PLRD for follow up action.</p>	Max pts = 2	
			Satisfactory turnout and bearing.	2
			Unsatisfactory turnout and bearing.	0

4.	Is the Security Officer aware of the provisions in the Code of Conduct (CoC) under the Private Security Industry Act?	Security Officer is to name the provisions under para 3 of the CoC [under the PSI (Conduct) Regulations]. Assessors will list down the provisions named by the Security Officer.	Max pts = 4	
			Able to name all the CoC provisions.	4
			Able to name 3 to 5 of the provisions.	2
			Able to name 2 or fewer provisions.	0
5.	Is the Security Officer aware of where the relevant SOPs are kept at the deployment site? - Bomb Threat - Fire Emergency - Suspicious Person, Vehicles or Activities	The Security Officer is to produce and show the relevant SOPs.	Max pts = 3	
			Each relevant SOP shown to the assessors.	1

6.	<p>Is the Security Officer able to articulate the key procedures without relying on any SOP given any specific scenario?</p> <p>The security officers may refer to other staff aid.</p>	<p>Security Officer is able to articulate the key components without relying on any SOP.</p> <p>Assessors to pose questions based on the relevant SOPs:</p> <p>Bomb Threat components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Checklist for gathering of more information about the threat - Search to confirm presence of bomb - Calling the Police if a bomb or a suspicious item is found - Evacuation procedures <p>Fire Emergency components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Procedure to confirm presence of fire - Calling 995 - Activation of fire alarm to warn others - Procedure to fight the fire - Procedure for evacuation <p>Suspicious Person, Vehicles or Activities components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assessment of whether there is a real threat (e.g. presence of bomb) - Recording the description of the suspicious person or vehicle - Calling Management/999 to report 	Max pts = 12	
			<p>For each component articulated by the Security Officer.</p>	<p>1</p>

7.	<p>Can the Security Officer demonstrate his ability to execute the SOPs on Bomb Threat, Fire Emergency, and Suspicious Person, Vehicles or Activities?</p> <p>The Security Officer may refer to the relevant SOPs.</p>	<p>Security Officer has to demonstrate the ability to execute the SOP by answering questions posed by the assessors.</p> <p>Three scenarios will be covered: (i) Bomb Threat; (ii) Fire Emergency; and (iii) Suspicious Person, Vehicles or Activities.</p> <p>The assessors will be given 3 scenarios by PLRD. The assessors will read out relevant parts of the scenarios to the Security Officer and ask a set of questions.</p>	Max pts = 12	
			Security Officer is able to demonstrate ability to execute the SOP on Bomb Threat.	4
			Security Officer is able to demonstrate ability to execute the SOP on Fire Emergency.	4
			Security Officer is able to demonstrate ability to execute the SOP on Suspicious Person, Vehicle or Activities.	4
8.	<p>Did the Security Officer comply with the patrol requirement (if any) at the deployment site?</p> <p>If patrol is not required for that deployment site, SA shall furnish documented evidence to the assessors for verification.</p>	<p>Evidence that the patrol requirements were complied with, including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of patrol rounds to perform each shift - Patrol route to be taken - Specific locations to be checked <p>The assessors will verify against CCTV recordings and/or the occurrence book.</p>	Max pts = 4	
			There is evidence that the patrol requirements were fully complied with.	4
			No evidence of patrol or patrol conducted not according with the requirements.	0
		Patrol is not required at the site.	NA	

9.	<p>Is the supervisor familiar with deployment plan of the SA?</p> <p>The supervisor may refer to any available information at site.</p>	<p>The supervisor must show that he knows the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The number of sites his SA is guarding - The locations of all the sites - The number of Security Officers deployed at each site - The names of Security Officers deployed at each site <p>The assessors will randomly pick <u>2 sites</u> for verification by calling the sites and verifying the information provided by the supervisor.</p>	Max pts = 8	
			<p>For each site where the number and names of Security Officers deployed are verified to be correct.</p>	4